IN THE CIRCUIT COURT TWENTY-FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY, ILLINOIS

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,] Plaintiff,]

No: _____

vs

Defendant.

ORDER FOR DETENTION

The Court held a detention hearing on the State's Petition to Detain on _____, 20____.

Charge(s):

Pursuant to 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(c), the hearing was held (*check one*):

Immediately upon filing of the State's Petition to Detain Within 48 hours after filing (if felony Class X, 1, 2, or 3) Within 24 hours after filing (if misdemeanor or felony Class 4)

THE COURT FINDS that:

(Select one or both - only if charged with felony offense)

There is probable cause the defendant has committed an offense; The Court has already found probable cause exists pursuant to a hearing under Section 109-3 of the Code of Criminal Procedure or a grand jury has returned a true bill of indictment; OR There is no probable cause the defendant has committed an offense and the defendant shall be released.

(Select one or both)

Dangerousness Standard (725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a)(1)-(7))

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that:

- The proof is evident or the presumption great that the defendant has committed a detainable offense pursuant to 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a), AND
- The defendant poses a real and present threat to the safety of any person (_____) or persons or to the community at large, AND
- No condition or combination of conditions set forth in subsection (b) of 725 ILCS 5/110-10 can mitigate the real and present threat to the safety of any person or persons or the community.

FINDINGS pursuant to 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(h), the Court summarizes the court's reasons for concluding that the defendant should be denied pretrial release, including why less restrictive conditions would not avoid a real and present threat to the safety of any person or persons or the community, based on the specific articulable facts of the case:

Willful Flight Standard (725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a)(8))

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that:

- The proof is evident or the presumption great that the defendant has committed a detainable offense pursuant to paragraph (8) of 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(a), AND
- The defendant has a high likelihood of willful flight to avoid prosecution, AND
- No condition or combination of conditions set forth in subsection (b) of 725 ILCS 5/110-10 can mitigate the risk of willful flight.

FINDINGS pursuant to 725 ILCS 5/110-6.1(h), the Court summarizes the Court's reasons for concluding that the defendant should be denied pretrial release, including why less restrictive conditions would not prevent the defendant's willful flight from prosecution:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The defendant is committed to the custody of the county sheriff for confinement in the county jail pending trial.
- 2. The defendant shall be given a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel, and for communication with others of his or her choice by visitation, mail and telephone.

- 3. The county sheriff shall deliver the defendant as required for appearances in connection with court proceedings.
- 4. The Court shall, as required under 725 ILCS 5/110-2(d), review the defendant's detention at each subsequent appearance by the defendant and address whether the defendant's continued detention is necessary to avoid the real, specific, and present threat to any person or of willful flight from prosecution.
- 5. The defendant has been read his/her appeal rights.
- 6.

DATED:

ENTERED:

JUDGE